

Maureen Maureen

YTY

 97

 Patrick

 Utica College

Document Details

Submission ID

trn:oid:::1:2786435500

Submission Date

Dec 16, 2023, 1:28 AM EST

Download Date

Dec 16, 2023, 1:29 AM EST

File Name

Week_3_Assignment_81756582.edited.docx

File Size

13.6 KB

4 Pages

749 Words

4,582 Characters

How much of this submission has been generated by AI?

***17%**

of qualifying text in this submission has been determined to be generated by AI.

* Low scores have a higher likelihood of false positives.

Caution: Percentage may not indicate academic misconduct. Review required.

It is essential to understand the limitations of AI detection before making decisions about a student's work. We encourage you to learn more about Turnitin's AI detection capabilities before using the tool.

Frequently Asked Questions

What does the percentage mean?

The percentage shown in the AI writing detection indicator and in the AI writing report is the amount of qualifying text within the submission that Turnitin's AI writing detection model determines was generated by AI.

Our testing has found that there is a higher incidence of false positives when the percentage is less than 20. In order to reduce the likelihood of misinterpretation, the AI indicator will display an asterisk for percentages less than 20 to call attention to the fact that the score is less reliable.

However, the final decision on whether any misconduct has occurred rests with the reviewer/instructor. They should use the percentage as a means to start a formative conversation with their student and/or use it to examine the submitted assignment in greater detail according to their school's policies.



How does Turnitin's indicator address false positives?

Our model only processes qualifying text in the form of long-form writing. Long-form writing means individual sentences contained in paragraphs that make up a longer piece of written work, such as an essay, a dissertation, or an article, etc. Qualifying text that has been determined to be AI-generated will be highlighted blue on the submission text.

Non-qualifying text, such as bullet points, annotated bibliographies, etc., will not be processed and can create disparity between the submission highlights and the percentage shown.

What does 'qualifying text' mean?

Sometimes false positives (incorrectly flagging human-written text as AI-generated), can include lists without a lot of structural variation, text that literally repeats itself, or text that has been paraphrased without developing new ideas. If our indicator shows a higher amount of AI writing in such text, we advise you to take that into consideration when looking at the percentage indicated.

In a longer document with a mix of authentic writing and AI generated text, it can be difficult to exactly determine where the AI writing begins and original writing ends, but our model should give you a reliable guide to start conversations with the submitting student.

Disclaimer

Our AI writing assessment is designed to help educators identify text that might be prepared by a generative AI tool. Our AI writing assessment may not always be accurate (it may misidentify both human and AI-generated text) so it should not be used as the sole basis for adverse actions against a student. It takes further scrutiny and human judgment in conjunction with an organization's application of its specific academic policies to determine whether any academic misconduct has occurred.

Week 3 Assignment_81756582

Student

Institution Affiliation

Professor's Name

Course Code

Date

Discussion

The lack of adherence to infection control protocols in healthcare facilities is a pervasive problem that has significant consequences for both patients and staff. According to Lowe et al. (2021), healthcare-associated infections are generic in struggle-affected settings, mainly surgical web page infections, that may cause multiplied morbidity and mortality fees. This problem is further worsened by insufficient health facility infrastructure, aid shortages, and personnel shortages as a result of armed war and violence (Lowe et al., 2021). In addition, those boundaries contribute to high patient numbers and supply chain disruptions, resulting in extended costs because of prolonged clinic stays or maybe readmissions. Ultimately, this results no longer in the most effective loss of productivity amongst sick employees; however, it also damages the business enterprise's reputation if word gets out about bad infection management practices inside the facility (Lowe et al., 2021). Perceived influences may additionally involve harm to the organization's reputation and degree of trust from sufferers if word gets out about bad contamination manipulation practices within the facility.

Stakeholders in the practice problem of noncompliance with infection control policies include patients, health care providers, hospital administrators, and staff responsible for enforcing these policies. A study by Lambe and his colleagues. (2021) highlights the importance of involving multiple stakeholders in identifying potential interventions to improve hand hygiene compliance in intensive care units (ICUs). This includes using data from a literature review and engaging with 39 stakeholders representing different groups, such as healthcare researchers, ICU staff and the public. It was found that ensuring access to critical resources was identified by those participants mostly agreed, while the sanctioning process needed to be better monitored. It is, therefore, important to involve all key individuals when addressing this issue to ensure effective

implementation and acceptance among stakeholders. This may include nurse managers, infectious disease specialists, environmental workers, and other relevant department heads within the organization.

It is important to have different perspectives on the table when discussing possible solutions to improve compliance with the infection control code. It is, therefore, important to involve key stakeholders from different sectors and those directly affected by this crisis - such as frontline health professionals - to obtain their views on the challenges they face during the implementation phase. In the future, it will be important to identify specific motivations for each individual as well as to highlight support structures and barriers that may affect the successful implementation of transition programs. In addition, the right people need to be identified or otherwise brought to the table, as successful decisions will depend on their input and performance (Park et al., 2020). A collaborative approach is needed to address this important organizational issue effectively.

Participants in this problem have different levels of involvement. This affects patients directly, and they may be more invested because their health is at risk. Nurses and other healthcare professionals may also feel a personal responsibility to ensure that appropriate infection prevention measures are followed to protect themselves and their patients. Clinic managers and staff are responsible for carrying out these policies, so they have an indirect impact, but they are still important in ensuring policy compliance. Other stakeholders, such as regulatory agencies such as the CDC or local health departments, provide external oversight and guidance on best practices. Overall, all stakeholders play an important role in solving the problem and promoting change in the organization (Petkovic et al., 2020). As a nurse, it is important to involve all stakeholders and have open communication to ensure everyone's voice is heard and their concerns are addressed.

References

- Lambe, K., Lydon, S., McSharry, J., Byrne, M., Squires, J., Power, M., ... & O'Connor, P. (2021). Identifying interventions to improve hand hygiene compliance in the intensive care unit through co-design with stakeholders. *HRB Open Research*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.12688%2Fhrbopenres.13296.2>
- Lowe, H., Woodd, S., Lange, I. L., Janjanin, S., Barnett, J., & Graham, W. (2021). Challenges and opportunities for infection prevention and control in hospitals in conflict-affected settings: a qualitative study. *Conflict and health*, 15, 1-10.
- Park, C. L., Russell, B. S., Fendrich, M., Finkelstein-Fox, L., Hutchison, M., & Becker, J. (2020). Americans' COVID-19 stress, coping, and adherence to CDC guidelines. *Journal of general internal medicine*, 35, 2296-2303.
- Petkovic, J., Riddle, A., Akl, E. A., Khabsa, J., Lytvyn, L., Atwere, P., ... & Tugwell, P. (2020). Protocol for the development of guidance for stakeholder engagement in health and healthcare guideline development and implementation. *Systematic reviews*, 9(1), 1-11. <https://systematicreviewsjournal.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13643-020-1272-5>